

Filed at 2:44 PM
11/17, 2017
E. Rushing
DEPUTY CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
MACON DIVISION**

YKK CORPORATION and YKK (U.S.A.) INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VELCRO USA INC. and VELCRO CANADA
INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:13-CV-306-LJA

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions to explain the meaning and usage of each legal term that appears in the questions below. Your answer to each question must be unanimous.

FINDINGS OF THE JURY

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

INFRINGEMENT

1. Has YKK proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Velcro has infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, any of the following claims of YKK's U.S. Patent 6,720,059 (referred to as "YKK's Patent") with respect to the following Velcro products?

Please answer in each cell with "Yes" (if you find in favor of YKK) or "No" (if you find in favor of Velcro).

Doctrine of Equivalents

	4Gi version 1	4Gi version 2	MH4
Claim 2	No	No	No
Claim 3	No	No	No

Literal Infringement

	4Gi version 1	4Gi version 2
Claim 13	No	No
Claim 14	No	No
Claim 15	No	No
Claim 16	No	No

2. Has YKK proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Velcro has induced a third party to infringe any of the following claims of YKK's Patent with respect to the following Velcro products?

Please answer in each cell with "Yes" (if you find in favor of YKK) or "No" (if you find in favor of Velcro).

Doctrine of Equivalents

	4Gi version 1	4Gi version 2	MH4
Claim 2	No	No	No
Claim 3	No	No	No

Literal Infringement

	4Gi version 1	4Gi version 2
Claim 13	No	No
Claim 14	No	No
Claim 15	No	No
Claim 16	No	No

3. If you found that YKK proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Velcro infringed any claim of YKK's Patent, did YKK prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Velcro's infringement was willful?

Answer this question only if you have found that Velcro has infringed any of the asserted claims of YKK's Patent (if you answered Yes in any boxes in Question 1 or 2). Do not answer this question if you answered "No" in every box in Question 1 and 2.

Yes _____ No _____

You have now reached the end of the Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Jury Foreperson should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the spaces below and notify the marshal that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the court

DATED: November 17, 2017

We concur: